

JP



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,755	09/28/2001	Djenana Campara	13771	3112

28291 7590 07/16/2004

FETHERSTONHAUGH - SMART & BIGGAR
1000 DE LA GAUCHETIERE WEST
SUITE 3300
MONTREAL, QC H3B 4W5
CANADA

EXAMINER

KENDALL, CHUCK O

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2122

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

JP

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/964,755

Applicant(s)

CAMPARA ET AL.

Examiner

Chuck Kendall

Art Unit

2122

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 09/28/01.
2. Claims 1 – 48 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 - 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ginter et al. USPN 5,589,900.

Regarding claims 1 & 24, a method of facilitating a transaction involving a target entity having software assets, comprising:

using a software analysis tool to extract information on the target entity's software assets (FIG. 57a, 2080 see EXTRACT and Audit); and

performing at least one subsequent step in the transaction as a function of the extracted information (FIG. 57a, 2094).

Regarding claims 2 & 25, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes copyright information (FIG. 5B see RIGHTS, under permission, Record 808 also see 6:35 – 45).

Regarding claims 3 & 26 a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes software owner information (9:35 – 40).

Regarding claims 4 & 27, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes third party software information (259:25 – 30).

Regarding claims 5 & 28, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes programming language information (93:32 - 34).

Regarding claims 6 & 29, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the information includes a high-level quality assessment of the software assets (192:10 – 15).

Regarding claims 7 & 30, a method as claimed in claim 1, wherein using a software analysis tool comprises:

providing software files to the software analysis tool (FIG.5B, see software, under permission content 304); and

obtaining said information from the software analysis tool (22:12 – 25).

Regarding claims 8 & 31, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the software files include source files (93:32 - 34).

Regarding claims 9 & 32, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the software files include object files (93:32 - 34).

Regarding claims 10 & 33, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of entities having copyright in at least one of the software files (for list see FIG.5B, 808, for RIGHTS and Permissions records).

Regarding claims 11 & 34, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of entities and the proportion of the software files in which each of said entities has copyright (17:18 – 40).

Regarding claims 12 & 35, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files in which no entity has copyright.

Regarding claims 13 & 36, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files in which at least one entity has copyright (45:3 – 15, see rights defined for eligible parties, examiner believes there's atleast one party defined as ineligible as interpreted).

Regarding claims 14 & 37, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of creators having created at least one of the software files (FIG.2A, see content creator, 102).

Regarding claims 15 & 38, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of creators and the proportion of the software files created by each of said creators (FIG.2A, see content creator, 102, and 112).

Regarding claims 16 & 39, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files not specified as being created by any creator (FIG.80).

Regarding claims 17 & 40, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes the proportion of the software files specified as being created by at least one Creator (FIG. 80 and 81, Creator A and B).

Regarding claims 18 & 41, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of third party software vendors whose products are needed by at least one of the software files (FIG. 12, 730, and associated text, see 108:30 – 35, for third party database vendors).

Regarding claims 19 & 42, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of third party software vendors whose operating systems are needed by at least one of the software files (108:30 – 35, for third party database vendors).

Regarding claims 20 & 43, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of third party software vendors whose software tools are needed by at least one of the software files (108:30 – 35).

Regarding claims 21 & 44, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of programming languages and the proportion of the software files programmed in each of said programming languages (FIG. 74, see software description list database)

Regarding claims 22 & 45, a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the information includes a list of file formats and the proportion of the software files in each of said file Formats (FIGs,17, 18 and 22 show different formats).

Regarding claims 23 a method as claimed in claim 7, wherein using a software analysis tool further comprises:

running the software analysis tool on the software files (FIG. 67B, 3350).

Regarding claim 46, a method of facilitating a transaction involving an expanding entity and a target entity having software assets, comprising:

a third party using a software analysis tool to extract information on the target entity's software assets (259:25 – 30); the third party providing the extracted information to the expanding entity (259:25 – 30); and

the expanding entity performing at least one subsequent step in the transaction as a function of the information provided by the third party (259:25 – 30).

Regarding claim 47, a method as claimed in claim 46, wherein the third party is contracted by the expanding entity (259:25 – 30, see commercial party).

Regarding claim 48, a method as claimed in claim 46, wherein the third party is contracted by the target entity (259:25 – 30, see third party information collection point).

Correspondence Information

4. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (703) 305-4552.


Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to 703-7467239 official and 703-7467240 draft

Chuck O. Kendall

Software Engineer Patent Examiner
United States Department of Commerce

WEI Y. ZHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Application/Control Number: 09/964,755
Art Unit: 2122

Page 6